## Environmental Legal Assistance (ELA) Fund

## **FINAL REPORT FORM**

This form provides the Ministry for the Environment (MfE) with information about the outcome of your case, and feedback to help ensure the fund is working well and achieving its purposes.

Please complete and return this form to the Ministry for the Environment with a copy of the court judgement once a decision has been reached on your case. Thank you.

1. Deed Details			
	1		
Name of group:			
MfE Reference Number No:	AF-EL	OR	20-05-04
Contract number:			
Proceedings:			
Name and contact details of person completing form:			
Region the case/board of inquiry	☐ Northland		☐ Wellington
relates to	☐ Auckland		☐ Tasman
	☐ Waikato		☐ Nelson
	☐ Bay of Plenty		☐ Marlborough
	Gisborne		☐ West Coast
	☐ Hawke's Bay		☐ Canterbury
	☐ Taranaki		☐ Otago
	☐ Manawatu-Wa	ınganui	Southland
2. Funding Summary			
	T		
a. ELA grant amount approved	\$		(ex GST)
b. Amount of ELA grant spent	\$		(ex GST)
c. Final total costs of group's case	\$		(ex GST / incl GST)
Who did your group receive funding for?	☐ Lawyer/s ☐	Expert witness/es	Both
Approximately what proportion of the case costs did ELA funding cover?	□ 0-49% □	50-74%	☐ <b>75-100</b> %
Did legal counsel or witnesses provide discounted rates or work pro-bono?	☐ Yes ☐	No	
Were actual costs greater, less than or the same as quoted/estimated costs?	☐ Greater ☐	Less	☐ The same

If th	there was a funding shortfall how did you meet it?	,						
	3. The Case/Board of Inquiry							
a.	Was your case successful?	☐ Yes	□No	☐ Partly successful				
b.	Did you participate in mediation?	☐ Yes	□No					
	If so, was mediation successful in resolving the issues?	☐ Yes	□No	☐ Partly successful				
	If mediation was only partly successful, describe and why.	oe the issu	es that wer	e resolved and which issues were not resolved				
C.	c. Did the Judge or Commissioners make any comments about the conduct of your case either in court or in their decision? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
	If yes, what were their comments? (Please ma	ke referen	ce to the jud	dgement if appropriate.)				
d.	In broad terms, did the main outcomes of the co	ase relate	to any of th	e following:				
	☐ Decreasing New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions							
	☐ Improved quality, flow and availability of fresh water							
	☐ Improved management of environmental effects and allocation of resources within environmental							
	limits							
	☐ Recognition of Māori values							
	Reduced harm from natural, chemical and biological hazards and from waste							
	Achieving better solutions to environmental problems by supporting community involvement and							
	action							
	☐ None of the above							
e.	Please outline any environmental gains (for exastakeholders who benefited and how they benefit and h							
f.	Collaboration							
	<ul><li>i) Did you share resources/evidence with other parties?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	☐ No					
	ii) If so, was this a beneficial approach?	☐ Yes	☐ No					
	Any comments:							

		,						
g.	Award of Costs							
	i) Ware costs cought against you?	☐ Yes	☐ No	How	much: \$			
	i) Were costs sought against you?	☐ Yes	☐ No	How	much: \$			
	<ul><li>ii) Were costs awarded against you?</li><li>iii) Did you seek costs?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	☐ No	How	much: \$			
	If so, were you successful?	☐ Yes	☐ No	How	much: \$			
	ii so, were you successiui!	l						
h.	Confirm a copy of the judgment is attached							
-								
ш	4. Assistance Provided by ELA Fun	ding						
a.	How did the funding make a difference to your case	e? Tick mo	ore than or	ne box if	applicable.			
	☐ No difference in how the case proceeded							
	☐ Enabled the case to proceed							
	☐ Enabled the use of legal counsel or an expert witness							
	Improved the quality of legal counsel or expert v							
	☐ Enabled more preparatory work or greater involvement from legal counsel/expert witness							
	☐ Prompted collaboration/sharing of resources or evidence with other parties							
	Other – Please explain:							
b.	If the level of funding available from the ELA Fund way you ran your case? Tick more than one box if a			at differe	ence would this h	ave made	to the	
	☐ No difference in how the case proceeded							
	☐ Enable the use of legal counsel or an expert witness							
	☐ Improve the quality of legal counsel or expert witness engaged							
	☐ Enable more preparatory work or greater involvement of legal counsel/expert witness							
	☐ Reduce the need to collaborate/share resources or evidence with other parties							
	☐ Other – Please explain:							
	_							
5.	Feedback on the Environmental Leg	ıal Ass	istance	Fund	processes			
		Juli 7 10 0			p. cccccc			
Ple	ase provide feedback on how you have found the Er	nvironmen	tal Legal A	Assistanc	e Fund's process	ses.		
Hig	hlight the number corresponding with the extent to w	hich you	agree with	each sta	itement.			
			Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Very good	
pro	w do you rate the Environmental Legal Assistance Focesses? (Consider the frequency of funding rounds a	and	1	2	3	4	5	
the	usability of the application form and Guide to Application	ants).						
Coi	mment							

How do you rate the timeliness of the Ministry in relation to the Environmental Legal Assistance Fund (eg. processing of applications and timing for notification of outcomes).	1	2	3	4	5	
Comment						
How do you rate the helpfulness of Ministry staff?	1	2	3	4	5	
Comment						
Do you have any other feedback or recommendations on how the Ministry for the Environment can improve the Environmental Legal Assistance Fund?						